UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,687	07/14/2005	Richard David Saunders	UDL27.001APC	8588
	7590 02/03/201 RTENS OLSON & BE	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN ST	REET	BURNEY, RACHEL L		
FOURTEENTH IRVINE, CA 92			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/03/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

Office Action Cumment			Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
			10/539,687	SAUNDERS ET	SAUNDERS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary			xaminer	Art Unit				
		F	Rachel L. Burney	1795				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appea	rs on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MASSING (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136(i unication. ututory period will a will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COMMUNICAT a). In no event, however, may a reply lapply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS use the application to become ABAND	TION. De timely filed from the mailing date of this ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 23 Octo	ober 2009					
•			ction is non-final.					
3)		<i>7</i> —		prosecution as to th	e merits is			
ا ا	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,				
· · _		nnlication						
•	 ✓ Claim(s) <u>9-33</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	e williawii	nom consideration.					
	• • •							
· ·	Claim(s) <u>9-33</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	tion and/on o	la ation magninement					
اـــا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or e	nection requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by t	he Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the dra	awing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	is required if the drawing(s) is	s objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen			_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	TO 040\	4) Interview Sumr Paper No(s)/Ma					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	10-948)		nal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/539,687 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 9-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5427886, Miller et al. in view of US Patent 6051305, Hsu.

Miller discloses a process for forming an image comprising providing microcapsules in an aqueous manufacturing vehicle including enwrapped capsule core materials comprising a chromogen and a photosensitive composition, wherein the capsules are adhered to the surface of a substrate with a binder material, then the microcapsules are ruptured on the substrate allowing the chromogen to react with an acid developer (column 1, lines 36-60). The photosensitive composition may be a toner (column 6, lines 23-25), which would form a toner image. The chromogen may be 3,3-bis(4-dimethylaminophenyl)-6-dimethylaminophthalide (column 6, lines 45-67) which is the same security agent as the instant application and would therefore have the same properties, or mixtures of similar agents (column 7, lines 11-12). The acid developer may be treated clays, aromatic carboxylic acids or metal salts thereof, or phenolic resins

(column 7, line 65 – column 8, line 7). The substrate may be paper (column 6, lines 1-10). Miller fails to teach the digital press system of the instant application. Hsu discloses digital presses (column 1, lines 20-26) which use liquid toners (column 5, lines 56-58). It would have been obvious to tone of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the any known imaging composition in the press of Hsu, including that of Miller, and one would have a reasonable expectation of success in doing so.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 10/23/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Miller does not teach or suggest a liquid toner imaging composition comprising a fine particulate toner and a security ingredient. The examiner respectfully disagrees. As stated above, Miller discloses a liquid composition comprising a photosensitive compound, such as a toner, and a chromogen, which may be the same as the security agent of the instant application. Although the phrasing in Miller is slightly different, the components are still all present.

Applicant argues that Miller does not disclose a system in which two images are formed independently on a substrate. The examiner respectfully disagrees. As stated above, Miller discloses that the system may use a toner, which would form a toner image, and that the chromogen reacts with an acid developer in the substrate, which would form the second image of the instant application.

Art Unit: 1795

Applicant argues that Hsu fails to remedy the deficiencies of Miller because Hsu does not disclose or suggest a system for producing both a toner image and a security feature. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Hsu is relied upon for the imaging apparatus, the process, including the steps of forming two toner images, is detailed in Miller. Hsu is solely relied upon as an image forming apparatus. The composition of Miller in the apparatus of Hsu would still form two images.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,687 Page 5

Art Unit: 1795

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel L. Burney whose telephone number is (571)272-9802. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs: 7:30-6:00 PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark F. Huff/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795

RLB